

(Attempt 2 questions out of 3)

Question 1

- (a) Let Σ be the signature with one sort, one constant, 0, and one binary operation, +. Let A be the Σ -algebra of natural numbers, $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, where the constant 0 is interpreted by the number 0 and the operation + is interpreted by addition.

For each of the following formulas decide whether it is logically valid and whether it holds in the Σ -algebra A . Justify your answers.

- (i) $\forall x \forall y (x + y = y + x)$
- (ii) $\forall x (\forall y (x + y = y) \vee \forall y (x + y \neq y))$
- (iii) $\forall x (\forall y (x + y = y) \vee \exists y (x + y \neq y))$

[6 marks]

- (b) Let Σ be a signature. Let Δ be the set of all Σ -formulas that are either of the form $t = s$ (t, s arbitrary Σ -terms of the same sort), or of the form $P_1 \vee P_2$, or of the form $\exists x P$ (P_1, P_2, P arbitrary Σ -formulas). Set

$$\Gamma := \{\neg\neg P \rightarrow P \mid P \in \Delta\}$$

Show that

$$\Gamma \vdash_m \neg\neg P \rightarrow P$$

for all Σ -formulas P , by induction on Σ -formulas.

[9 marks]

- (c) (i) Produce an initial specification for the algebra of *double ended queues of natural numbers* (*deque*s, for short) that has a sort **deque**, a constant **nil** for the empty queue and the following constants and operations:

- * **cons**: adds a number at the front of a deque;
- * **snoc**: adds a number at the end of a deque;
- * **head**: computes the front element of a nonempty deque (if the deque is empty the number 0 shall be returned);
- * **last**: computes the element at the end of a nonempty deque (if the deque is empty the number 0 shall be returned);

You may import a suitable data type of natural numbers.

- (ii) Determine a minimal set of generators in the specification you have given in (i) above. Are these generators free?

[10 marks]

Question 2

- (a) Let Σ be the signature with one sort, two constants, 0 and 1, and two binary operations, + and *. Let \mathbf{R} respectively \mathbf{C} be the Σ -algebras of real respectively complex numbers with 0, 1, addition and multiplication. Show that \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{C} are not isomorphic.

[6 marks]

- (b) Suppose an initial specification of an abstract data type of finite sets (of elements of an unspecified sort `element` of elements) with a constant for the empty set and operations for inserting an element into a set and selecting an element from a set is given. Suppose the specification contains the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{insert}(\text{insert}(s, x), x) &= \text{insert}(s, x) \\ \text{insert}(\text{insert}(s, x), y) &= \text{insert}(\text{insert}(s, y), x) \\ \text{select}(\text{emptyset}) &= \text{error} \\ \text{select}(\text{insert}(s, x)) &= x\end{aligned}$$

Explain why this specification is flawed.

[6 marks]

- (c) Consider the following Haskell implementation of an abstract data type of finite binary trees.

```
module Tree (Tree, leaf, branch, isleaf, root, left, right) where

data Tree = Leaf Int | Branch (Tree Int) Int (Tree Int)

leaf :: Int -> Tree
leaf x = Leaf x

branch :: Tree -> Int -> Tree -> Tree
branch s x t = Branch s x t

root :: Tree -> Int
root (Leaf x)      = x
root (Branch s x t) = x

left  :: Tree -> Tree
left (Leaf x)      = error "left of Leaf"
left (Branch s x t) = s

right :: Tree -> Tree
right (Leaf x)      = error "right of Leaf"
right (Branch s x t) = t
```

- (i) Extend the implementation above by an observer `sumLabels` that computes the sum of all labels occurring in a tree.
- (ii) Give a different implementation of the same abstract data type that allows for a more efficient implementation of the operation `sumLabels`.
- (iii) Why is it important that the Haskell constructors for trees, `Leaf` and `Branch`, are not exported directly, but only via their synonyms, `leaf` and `branch`?

[13 marks]

Question 3

- (a) What does it mean for an implementation of an abstract data type to be *persistent*? Explain, by means of an example, why imperative implementations of abstract data types may fail to be persistent.

[7 marks]

- (b) Explain, what it means for a term rewriting system to be

- (i) terminating,
- (ii) locally confluent,
- (iii) confluent.

[6 marks]

- (c) Consider the signature $\Sigma := (\{s\}, \{1: s, *: s \times s \rightarrow s\})$ and the following three term rewriting systems:

$$R_1 := \{1 * x \mapsto x, (x * y) * z \mapsto x * (y * z)\},$$

$$R_2 := \{x * 1 \mapsto x, (x * y) * z \mapsto x * (y * z)\},$$

$$R_3 := \{1 * x \mapsto x, x * 1 \mapsto x, (x * y) * z \mapsto x * (y * z)\}$$

- (i) Prove that R_3 is terminating.
- (ii) Decide for each of the term rewriting systems, R_1, R_2, R_3 , whether it is confluent. Justify your answers.

[12 marks]